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1. Introduction

The last two decades have witnessed the rapid growth of lithium ion battery technology and its market in energy storage and electron devices application [1–5]. The advantages of these lithium ion batteries, compared with other battery devices, are of high energy density, long cycling life, long shelf life, etc. Today it is generally realized and accepted that each battery type has its pros and cons, lithium ion batteries are not in the stage yet to replace all others, even they have the great potentials. To further expand their market potentials, there has been strong incentive to develop low cost lithium-ion batteries with high-energy, high-power density and high-safety.

Amongst many compounds which have been studied as alternatives [6–10] to transition metal oxides that are commonly used in current lithium ion battery, copper sulfides of the molecular formula of Cu2S stand out because of their good electrical conductivities, high theoretical capacity and flat discharge curves, for example, covellite CuS is a good conductor (10⁻³ S cm⁻¹) and possesses a high capacity (560 mA·h·g⁻¹) which is almost four times of that of commercial Li4Ti5O12 [11–13]. Copper sulfide is a common nomenclature of binary compounds composed of copper and sulfur. In fact, these two elements form a myriad of binary compounds with different stoichiometries, such as Cu2S, Cu2S4, Cu1.85S, Cu1.96S and Cu2S [14,15]. The mechanism of copper sulfides reacting with lithium ions and electrons is different from that of transition metal oxides, rather than an insertion reaction, it is a
replacement reaction in which the final product is Cu and Li$_2$S [9,13,16,17]. The formation of lithium polysulfides causes severe capacity decay during charge/discharge cycles, because lithium polysulfides can dissolve into electrolytes and drift away from the electrode [13]. Many attempts have been made to improve its capacity retention [10,18–20]. CuS film obtained from direct hydrothermal growth on Cu foil reported by Ni et al. and highly ordered large-scale CuS nanowire arrays grown onto the copper current collector reported by Lai et al. can lead to excellent cycling performance [21,22]. The intriguing electrochemical behavior of CuS materials on Cu foil inspired us to investigate the relation between the material chemistry and its electrochemical properties, and to further improve their electrochemical performance as anode for lithium ion batteries.

It is well known that electrochemically the `copper-excess' copper sulﬁdes electrodes [10,18–26] exhibit signiﬁcant differences to the `copper-deﬁcient' copper sulﬁdes electrodes [9,13]. If the molar ratio of copper to sulfur in electrodes is greater than 2:1, they are designated as `copper-excess' copper sulﬁdes electrodes, otherwise, they are `copper-deﬁcient' copper sulﬁdes electrodes. We carried out systematic work in attempt to uncover the origin of these pronounced differences. We ﬁrst synthesized copper sulﬁdes compounds with different Cu/S stoichiometries. And the inﬂuence of current collector on copper sulﬁdes electrochemical performance is also studied in this work. In general, these `copper excess' electrodes showed excellent performance compared with `copper deﬁcient' electrodes. This may be related to the excess copper can immobilize the active sulfur element to some extent, and the related mechanism is revealed in further discussion.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of electrodes

Copper sulﬁdes (Cu$_x$S$_y$) were synthesized from copper powder (20–30 nm) (Aladdin, US) and sulfur powder (China National Medicines Corporation Ltd.). Different molar ratios of copper and sulfur were mixed and dispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), and heated at 100 °C for 0.5 h before solvent evaporation. The synthesized Cu$_x$S$_y$ are designated as Cu$_x$S$_y$ I (x = 1) and Cu$_x$S$_y$ II (x = 2), respectively.

The preparation of copper sulﬁdes electrodes were as follows: copper sulﬁdes powder, acetylene black, and PVDF were mixed at weight ratio of 70:15:15 in NMP to form slurry. The resultant slurry was uniformly coated onto Cu or Al foils respectively and dried in a vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h. A typical copper sulﬁdes mass loading is 2.0–4.0 mg cm$^{-2}$ on each electrode.

Among these electrodes prepared, the ones with Cu$_x$S (I, II) coated onto the copper foil are considered as `copper excess' copper sulﬁdes electrodes; those with Cu$_x$S (I, II) coated onto the aluminum foil, are `copper deﬁcient' copper sulﬁdes electrodes.

2.2. Cell assembly and electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical properties of copper sulﬁdes electrodes were tested in CR2016-type coin cells with copper sulﬁdes as the working electrode and lithium foil as the counter electrode. Celgard 2325 was used as separator. These Li/Cu$_x$S$_y$ cells were assembled in an argon-ﬁlled glove box. The electrolyte was prepared by adding 1 M lithium bis(triﬂuoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) salt into a mixture of 1,2-dimethoxymethylene (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) at 1:1 volume ratio. The cycling performance of Li/Cu$_x$S$_y$ cells were measured by charging and discharging at constant currents on Battery Test System (Land, Wuhan, China).

The cyclic voltammetric (CV) measurement of these Li/Cu$_x$S$_y$ cells were performed using three-electrode cell conﬁguration on CHI1030C Electrochemical Work-station (Chenghua, Shanghai, China) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$. A lithium foil was used as the counter and reference electrode.

2.3. Structure characterization

The X-ray diﬀraction patterns of the synthesized Cu$_x$S$_y$ were collected on a PanalyticalD’Pert PRO with a Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). The operating voltage and current were 40 kV and 30 mA, respectively, with scanning step of 2.0° min$^{-1}$. In order to analyze the changes of the crystal structure during charge and discharge process, the Li/Cu$_x$S$_y$ cells were disassembled in an argon-ﬁlled glove box at diﬀerent charged and discharged states, the electrode active materials were peeled off from the Cu or Al foil collector for XRD testing. The active substances were sealed with polyimide ﬁlm tape (Capton®) to prevent exposure to the air.

The X-ray photoelectron spectrum (XPS) measurement was performed on PHI Quantum 2000 Scanning ESCA Microprobe, using monochromatised Al Kα radiation (hv = 1486.60 eV) with anode voltage of 15 kV. The pass energy was 60 eV for the survey spectra and 20 eV for particular elements. The microstructure of the samples was examined with Hitachi S-4800 Scanning electron microscope.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Characterization of copper sulﬁdes materials

The morphologies and crystal phases of the synthesized copper sulﬁdes powders are characterized by SEM and TEM, as shown in Fig. 1. SEM images (Fig. 1a and b) indicate that the particles of these two samples are both coral-like and made of needle-like nanoparticles and all these nanoparticles are about one micrometer long and several hundred nanometers wide, even though the particle sizes of each sample are diﬀerent. The needles in sample Cu$_x$S$_y$ I are little thinner than that in sample Cu$_x$S$_y$ II. Another little difference

 ![Fig. 1. SEM and TEM images of Cu$_x$S: (a, c) Cu$_x$S I, (b, d) Cu$_x$S II.](image-url)
between these two samples is that the Cu\textsubscript{x}S I has a more smooth than sample Cu\textsubscript{x}S II.

The crystal phases of these samples from HRTEM studies illustrate their differences. Sample Cu\textsubscript{x}S I (Fig. 1c) shows two lattice fringes with the lattice spacing of 3.04 Å and 2.72 Å, matching fairly well with (104) and (006) planes of CuS (JCPDS 001-1281), respectively; Sample Cu\textsubscript{x}S II (Fig. 1d) shows several different kinds of lattice orientations, the interfringe spacing of 3.04 Å corresponds to [104] planes of CuS and the spacing of 2.78 Å matches with [200] planes of Cu\textsubscript{2}S (JCPDS 003-1071).

XRD patterns of these three samples (Fig. 2) also confirm their structural and compositional difference. Cu\textsubscript{x}S I (1:1) can be readily indexed to the hexagonal phase of CuS (JCPDS 001-1281) and no metallic copper peaks are observed significantly. The XRD pattern of Cu\textsubscript{x}S II shows that it is a mixture: one set of the peaks is indexed to Cu\textsubscript{2}S (JCPDS 003-1071); the set of peaks at 2\theta = 43.3\,°, 50.5\,° and 74.1\,° belongs to the unreacted copper powders; and the set of peaks of 48.1\,° and the broad one at about 32.0\,° clearly marks the present of certain amount of CuS in it, which agrees well with that from HRTEM (Fig. 1d).

### 3.2. Electrochemical behaviors of the copper sulfides electrodes

Because we have found that enhanced electrochemical performance can be obtained for copper-excess electrodes, we also detect the typical discharge and charge features of these Cu\textsubscript{x}S electrodes with copper or aluminum foils as current collectors. As shown in Fig. 3, these materials show similar electrochemical behaviors in their first few cycles. They all exhibit a small plateau around 1.9 V and a large plateau at 2.3 V in first charging step. The 2.3 V plateau keeps shrinking while 1.9 V plateau keeps increasing, and eventually the 2.3 V plateau is barely observable. Similar changes occur during discharge process. In the first discharge, each of the materials shows two voltage plateaus at around 2.0 V and 1.6 V. The lengths of these two plateaus depend heavily on the material itself with a small influence from current collectors. In Cu\textsubscript{x}S I, the lengths of these two plateaus are roughly equal, but for Cu\textsubscript{x}S II, the 2.0 V plateau is much smaller.

As cycling continued, the 2.0 V discharge plateaus for all materials keep shrinking while the 1.6 V plateaus keep increasing. The details about the capacity vs plateau (voltage) are listed in Table 1. The influence of different current collectors on cycling behaviors of the materials is worth of mentioning. The first noticeable difference is that in all cases, the capacities obtained with Cu foils are greater than those on aluminum foils, especially for Cu\textsubscript{x}S I. The active material in electrode with Cu\textsubscript{x}S I coated on aluminum is CuS (from XRD data) and the electrode is considered as ‘copper-deficient’; even though the starting material in Cu\textsubscript{x}S I coated on copper foil is also CuS, but CuS can readily reacts with copper to form ‘copper-excess’ compounds, thus the capacities of the ‘same’ materials are eventually quite different. The second noticeable difference is the voltage profile changes. In the case of aluminum foil, the 2.3 V charge plateau drops to 2.1 V but still can be seen after 100 cycles. Similar trends are also observed during discharge process in that the 2.0 V discharge plateaus are still observable after 100 cycles. However, neither of these features can be detected after 100 cycles in the case of copper foil as current collector. The third noticeable electrochemical difference is that the charge/discharge voltage gaps on aluminum are all comparatively larger than those on copper. Consequently, it further indicates the copper-excess electrodes are more superior due to the lower polarization and better reaction kinetics.

Galvanostatic cycle performance of these Cu\textsubscript{x}S electrodes are shown in Fig. 4 and the detailed comparison is summarized in Table 2. By comparing the cycling stability of the electrodes on aluminum foils and on copper foils, it is obvious that Cu\textsubscript{x}S II coated on copper foil can deliver higher energy density, and better cycling stabilities. Hence, we decided to examine the electrochemical performance of Cu\textsubscript{x}S II in more detail on copper foil. Its rate capability is shown in Fig. 5. The current rate increases from 1 C to 20 C, five cycles are recorded for each stepwise increment. Even at 20 C, the capacity obtained is 210 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}, meaning about 67% capacity retention of 1 C discharge.

The insight of the change of Cu\textsubscript{x}S in the electrochemical properties upon lithiation and delithiation can be gained by cyclic voltammetrical (CV) studies. The CV data of these Cu\textsubscript{x}S electrodes are shown in Fig. 6. All these cyclic voltammograms are very complicate and indicate very complex electrochemical reaction mechanism, but the general features are quite similar. The Cu\textsubscript{x}S I material (Fig. 6a and b) exhibits two redox couples in the first scan: two well-defined peaks at the voltage of around 2.0 V and 1.6 V while scanning cathodically, and two well-defined peaks at around 2.3 V and 1.9 V during anodic scan. The magnitudes of these peaks are quite different on different current collectors. Upon subsequent cycling, the cathodic peak at 2.0 V and anodic peak at around 2.3 V gradually decrease, and are eventually unobservable if copper used as current collector (Fig. 6b). All these CV results are highly consistent with the charge-discharge curves, and the mechanism of the electrochemical reactions will be discussed later.

![Fig. 2. XRD patterns of Cu\textsubscript{x}S synthesized by different mole ratios of Cu and S. The bottom lines are the standard patterns of the Cu\textsubscript{2}S, CuS and Cu.](image-url)
stability can be obtained by using copper as the current collector, especially in the first few cycles.

3.3. Structural evolution of Cu$_x$S I during cycling

In order to gain further insight into the electrochemical reaction mechanism, some structural characterizations have been done to detect the reaction process. Fig. 7 shows the SEM and HRTEM images of Cu$_x$S I and Cu$_x$S II electrode materials after cycled for 100 times. The particle is about 5 µm (Fig. 6a), and is much bigger than that before cycling. Its crystal phase is also different from that before cycling. There is only one lattice fringe with spacing of 2.78 Å corresponds to (200) planes of Cu$_2$S (JCPDS 003-1071) (Fig. 6b). Recalling that in Fig. (1a and c), the particles are coral-like and its crystal phase is pure CuS (JCPDS001-1281). After cycled for 100 cycles, its morphology is changed from small particles to agglomerate of plates and its crystal phase changed from CuS to Cu$_2$S. The similar change can be seen for the Cu$_x$S II electrode (Fig. 7c and d), the morphology is tend to agglomerate but the crystal phase is pure Cu$_2$S (JCPDS 003-1071) from beginning to end. In summary, we

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Current collector</th>
<th>Discharge capacity of 1st cycle (mA g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Capacity of the higher plateau (mA g$^{-1}$)/percentage</th>
<th>Capacity of the lower plateau (mA g$^{-1}$)/percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S I Al</td>
<td>461.5</td>
<td>222.3/48.4%</td>
<td>238.2/51.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S I Cu</td>
<td>508.4</td>
<td>218.0/42.9%</td>
<td>190.0/37.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S II Al</td>
<td>298.5</td>
<td>70.6/23.7%</td>
<td>227.9/76.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S II Cu</td>
<td>306.8</td>
<td>92.8/30.2%</td>
<td>234.0/69.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Current collector</th>
<th>Discharge capacity of 1st cycle (mA g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Discharge capacity of 100th cycle (mA g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Capacity retention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S I Al</td>
<td>461.5</td>
<td>212.1</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S I Cu</td>
<td>508.4</td>
<td>468.8</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S II Al</td>
<td>298.5</td>
<td>243.2</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu$_x$S II Cu</td>
<td>306.8</td>
<td>278.8</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
believe the composition of the CuS electrode materials may be a major factor that governs the cycling life of copper sulfides in lithium ion cells.

To fully understand its structural evolution during cycling, quasi in-situ XRD characterizations are conducted on five CuS I cycled samples (Fig. 8a). Before the XRD tests, these Li/CuS I coin cells have been cycled galvanostatically at 0.5 C rate for 30 cycles, then are terminated the electrochemical discharge/charge process at different charged/discharged states, in accordance with the electrochemical plot shown in Fig. 8b.

At a fully charged state (unlithiated, curve a), the XRD diagram shows well-defined peaks that could be associated with CuS (27.9°, 32.2°, 46.3°, 54.9°; JCPDS 003-1071). The XRD patterns of the fully discharged products (lithiated, curve c) could be indexed to Li2S (26.9°, 31.2°, 44.8°, 53.1°; JCPDS 089-2838) and Cu (43.3°, 50.4°, 74.1°; JCPDS 01-089-2838). During the discharge process, the CuS peaks decrease in intensity and disappear at the end of discharge reaction, while the peaks of Li2S and Cu become prominent, indicating that CuS is reduced to Li2S and Cu by lithiation.

Scrubbing the XRD data in Fig. 8a, we can see that the diffraction peak at 25°–30° splits into two peaks which are indexed to CuS [100] and Li2S [100] (curve b). This indicates there are two kinds of crystalline structures coexist when electrode is discharged in half way. This phenomena also observed in the charging process (Fig. 8a, curve d), indicating that Li2S and Cu reacted electrochemically to form CuS and the electrochemical reaction is quite reversible. Thus the delithiation/lithiation reaction of CuS should be considered as a single electrochemical reaction: CuS + Li ↔ Li2S + Cu, characterized by two very flat voltage plateaus at 1.70 V and 1.85 V in the process of charging and discharging.

3.4. Proposed charge–discharge mechanism

It is well known that copper sulfides are far more complex than its simple formulae and they often exist in a variety of phases mostly consisting of closed-packed arrays of sulfur atoms with Cu atoms distributed randomly throughout the interstices [27–30]. The bonding in copper sulfides could not be correctly described in terms of a simple oxidation state formalism because the Cu–S bonds are somewhat covalent rather than ionic in character with high degree of delocalization resulting in complicated electronic band structures. Although many textbooks [31] sign the mixed valence formula (Cu+)2(Cu2+) (S2−)2(S2−)2− to CuS, Folmer et al. and Goh et al. argued that the copper ions in all the copper sulfides are monovalent, and more appropriate formulae would be (Cu+)2(S2−) (S2−)2− for CuS, (Cu+)2(S2−) for Cu2S, and (Cu+)2(S2−) for CuS2, respectively, based upon the strong evidence given by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic data [32–35].

From XRD data, the copper sulfides compounds synthesized here are within two molar ratio boundaries of CuS and CuS2. Thus we shall discuss the electrochemical reactions of these two compounds in an attempt to understand the quite different electrochemical behaviors between the ‘copper-excess’ and ‘copper-deficient’ copper sulfides. The ‘copper-excess’ copper sulfides (referred to the molar ratio of the copper and sulfur is greater than 2:1, Cu2S) in which copper ions are considered as (Cu+2)2 and sulfur ions as (S2−), based on arguments by Folmer and Goh, react electrochemically as following:

$$
\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{S} + 2\text{Cu}
$$

(1)

It can be simplified as:

$$
\text{Cu}^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Cu}
$$

(2)

The above reactions correspond to the lower discharge voltage plateau at around 1.6 V and charge voltage plateau at around 1.9 V. As discharging, when the lithium ions intercalate between the active sites of sulfur and drive out the highly-mobile copper cations, resulting in the formation of copper dendrites [9].

This process is reversible during charge and discharge processes, which is supported by the quasi in-situ XRD characterizations shown in Fig. 8. It is also illustrated that the cubic CuS and Li2S structures present exactly the same sulfur array, thus one can act as a template for the other in the next step, like registering a memory, to enable electrochemically lithium ions to substitute and be replaced by reversibly by copper ions [9,18]. This is the reasonable explanation for the ‘copper excess’ copper sulfides have excellent cycling and rate performance. In our experiments, the materials as shown in Fig. 3(d) are closest to the idealized ‘copper excess copper sulfides’ systems and achieve the best cycling performance.

For ‘copper-deficient’ copper sulfides electrode, i.e. CuS, which is considered to be (Cu+1)2(S2−) (S2−)2− the reactions are proposed as following:

$$
\text{CuS} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{S} + \text{Cu}
$$

(3)

This can be described in detail as:

$$
\text{Cu}^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Cu}
$$

(4)

$$
(\text{S}_2)^- + 4\text{Li}^+ + 3e^- \leftrightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{S}
$$

(5)

During the discharge process, (S2)− of electrode active materials is reduced to S2− (Li2S) then Cu (I) is reduced to Cu at the voltage plateaus of 2.10 V and 1.70 V respectively. The reverse reactions of reaction (4) and (5) occur at the voltage of 1.85 V and 2.15 V respectively.

The actual systems are much more complex than the two ideal compounds discussed above. CuS can be regarded as a mixture of CuS and Cu2S. In fact, even in the ‘copper-excess’ system (Fig. 3c and d), there is still a small amount of CuS presented at the short discharge plateau of 2.10 V. In the case of ‘copper-excess’ copper sulfides, the ‘infinte’ amount of copper source supplied by the excess copper powder from synthesis or by the current collectors which involved into the electrochemical reactions during charging and/or discharging processes, ensure the gradual formation of CuS during cycling. Eventually, only Cu2S is present as copper sulfides (Fig. 8).

The present and quickly disappearing after first few cycles of the
high charge voltage plateau at 2.35 V in every sample is intrigue and its origin is still unclear. One possibility is that it is caused by a large Li$_2$S overpotential (~1 V) because Li$_2$S is usually considered to be electrochemically inactive due to its high electronic resistivity and low lithium ion diffusivity [36]. The gradual formation of polysulfides and new sulfur networks, especially the dendritic network of copper likely improves the conductivity and the lithium ion diffusivity of the electrode materials, and the electrochemical performance of the copper sulfides.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated that the ‘copper-excess’ copper sulfides electrodes showed excellent cycling performance and rate capability. The excellent cycling and rate performance could be contributed to the high conductivity of copper sulfides and the unique displacement reaction between Cu$_2$S and Li$_2$S, both of them possess similar crystal structures. We believe and demonstrate that the most critical factor for achieving such good performances in Li-Cu$_x$S batteries is that the amount of copper atoms in copper sulfides electrodes should exceed 2 times of the amount of sulfur atoms. (If the Cu film is selected as the current collector, the copper-rich condition can be built and the improved electrochemical performance can be obtained as well). When there is sufficient copper to react with sulfur, generating S$_2^{2-}$ species especially the (S$_2^{2-}$) during the cycling is extremely inhibited, thereby preventing the capacity fading caused by S$_2^{2-}$ species dissolving into the electrolyte.
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